

Meeting date: 14 March 2023

Report to: Health and Wellbeing Board

Report title: Update on the development of the local outcomes framework

Report from: Neeraj Malhotra, Deputy Director of Public Health and Carol Andrew, Head of Place Partnerships (Solihull)

Report author/lead contact officer: Neeraj.malhotra@solihull.gov.uk

Wards affected:

- All Wards | Bickenhill | Blythe | Castle Bromwich | Chelmsley Wood | Dorridge/Hockley Heath | Elmdon | Kingshurst/Fordbridge | Knowle | Lyndon | Meriden | Olton | Shirley East | Shirley South | Shirley West | Silhill | Smith's Wood | St Alphege
-

Public/private report: Public

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1 The draft local outcomes framework has been reviewed and further improvements have been made. Subject to endorsement by the Board, it is proposed that work on populating and reporting on the metrics contained within the framework commences this summer.

2. Decision(s) Recommended

- 2.1 To endorse the new outcomes framework and the proposal that it supersedes the current performance scorecard.
- 2.2 To receive the first version of the populated framework in July 2023.
- 2.3 To acknowledge that the local outcomes framework will be reviewed as needed, most notably to take account of:
- 2.3.1 The refresh of Solihull's Joint Local Health and Wellbeing Strategy
- 2.3.2 The development of the outcomes framework for the Integrated Care System, which is

currently being developed as well as the ICS Masterplan.

- 2.4 To note that Place Committee has responsibility for monitoring outcomes and will review the scorecard on a quarterly basis. It is proposed that Health and Wellbeing Board will receive data on outcomes annually or by exception.

Report Title: Update on the development of the local outcomes framework

3. Matters for Consideration

- 3.1 The local outcomes framework has been developed over a number of months with key stakeholders. It builds on existing measures in our local Health and Wellbeing Strategy and key indicators that relate to the Health Inequalities Strategy. The framework reflects the wider determinants of health and wellbeing and locally agreed priorities at place level. Work on the framework was paused temporarily due to discussions about a system-level framework which would need to align to our local framework. It has been agreed by Place Committee that the local work will now continue and other frameworks will be taken into account as they are developed. Place Committee will take responsibility for monitoring outcomes and will review these on a quarterly basis. It is proposed that Health and Wellbeing board will receive updates annually and by exception.
- 3.2 A renewed process for updating the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment is underway. This includes utilising more dynamic methods given data is continually changing. The 'Story of Solihull' will be updated on an annual basis and will form our evidence summary, providing a snapshot of the latest data. This summary document will sit alongside more detailed needs assessments. These developments will be presented to the Board in July 2023. This work will underpin the refresh of the Joint Local Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JLHWBS). As the JLHWBS is revised, the changes may affect the metrics included in the local outcomes framework. Consideration will be given to the strategy's priorities to make sure we are measuring the right areas that affect change and improve health and wellbeing.
- 3.3 As discussed at recent Health and Wellbeing Board meetings, the Integrated Care Partnership (ICP) has developed a ten-year 'Masterplan'. The Integrated Care Board (ICB) will respond to this plan, to set out how the plan will be delivered. With that plan there will be an outcomes framework. As the ICB outcomes framework is developed, our local outcomes framework may well be amended to ensure areas of commonality are strengthened.
- 3.4 The ICP Masterplan has an accompanying set of high-level metrics. Our outcomes framework has been considered alongside them. There are some areas in common, such as life expectancy, healthy life expectancy and child development indicators, but there are clear areas of difference too. For example, it is proposed that our local outcomes framework will include metrics relating to housing, transport, air quality and early help.

4. What options have been considered and what is the evidence telling us about them?

- 4.1 One option is to continue with the current Health and Wellbeing performance

scorecard.

5. Reasons for recommending preferred option

5.1 The preferred option is to move to the proposed new local outcomes framework as:

5.1.1 This moves to a set of high-level outcomes, rather than outputs related to performance in service activity

5.1.2 This is more in keeping with us working as a 'system' rather than looking at the work of individual services

5.1.3 This takes account of the changing ICS landscape

5.1.4 This will sit well alongside performance reports that will be reported to other committees both in the Council and in the ICB.

6. Implications and Considerations

6.1 State how the proposals in this report contribute to the priorities in the [Council Plan](#):

Priority:	Contribution:
<p>People and Communities:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Improving outcomes for children and young people in Solihull.2. Good quality, responsive, and dignified care and support for Adults in Solihull when they need it.3. Take action to improve life chances and health outcomes in our most disadvantaged communities.4. Enable communities to thrive.	<p>There are outcomes measures that relate to children and young people as well as our work to address health inequalities.</p>
<p>Economy:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. Develop and promote the borough's economy, with a focus on revitalising our town and local centres.6. Maximising the opportunities of UK Central and HS2.7. Increase the supply of affordable and social housing that is environmentally sustainable.	<p>The outcomes framework includes indicators relating to employment and skills</p>
<p>Environment:</p>	<p>The outcomes framework will include metrics relating to air quality, housing, transport and active travel.</p>

Priority:	Contribution:
8. Enhance our natural environment, improve air quality and reduce net carbon emissions.	
9. Promote employee wellbeing	Enter text.

6.2 Consultation and Scrutiny:

6.2.1 As the outcomes framework has been developed, it has been shared with stakeholders across the 'system' and has been continuously improved over the past six months.

6.3 Financial implications:

6.3.1 See 6.5.1

6.4 Legal implications:

6.4.1 The health and wellbeing board has statutory responsibilities to produce a JSNA and a JLHWBS. Measuring the success of the strategy is an important part of the work of the Board.

6.5 Risk implications, including Risk Appetite:

6.5.1 There are some risks relating to capacity to develop the framework and produce the scorecard; funding is being sought to address this gap.

6.6 Equality implications:

6.6.1 The outcome measures are a very important part of the Board's ability to track progress on health inequalities.

6.7 Linkages to our work with the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA), Local Enterprise Partnership or the Birmingham & Solihull Integrated Care System (ICS):

6.7.1 This outcomes framework has been developed closely with our ICS partners.

7. List of appendices referred to

7.1 Outcomes framework overview report

8. Background papers used to compile this report

8.1 None

9. List of Other Relevant Documents

9.1 ICP Masterplan