

# THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY

## Fair Treatment Assessment (FTA)



This abbreviated Fair Treatment Assessment is to be used for assessing the impact on budget savings only. It does not replace our existing FTA toolkit nor our statutory duty to complete impact assessments as a routine part of our business.

These forms are designed to help you identify the potential negative impact of the Council's proposed savings on its customers, staff and communities. Any decisions made on savings will be based on judgements using the best information available in determining efficient priorities of spend in the borough. The Council's FTA guidance notes and supporting information are valuable sources to use to assist you with your assessment.

The role of EIA's/FTA's is to identify, and wherever possible, mitigate any negative adverse impact identified. Equality legislation however, is not designed to prevent reductions in public expenditure - its role is to ensure fairness is at the heart of the decision making process.

To save space services, policies, strategies, procedures and functions will be referred to as "functions" for the rest of this form!

### Part A: Area for Review

<b>1</b>	<b>Name of service or function etc</b>	Medium term financial strategy 2019/20 to 2021/22			
<b>2</b>	<b>Directorate</b>	All	<b>3</b>	<b>Responsible Senior Officer</b>	Paul Johnson Director of Resources and Deputy Chief Executive
<b>4</b>	<b>Which Budget does this saving affect?</b>	Various budgets across the Council			
<b>5</b>	<b>Is this an existing service or a proposed saving?</b>	Proposed saving			
<b>6</b>	<b>Scope/Amount of Proposed Saving</b>	Proposals for £3.640million of ongoing savings and £0.750 million of one-off savings in 2021/22 Recommended council tax increase of 2.99% in 2019/20			

### Part B: Background

<b>7</b>	<b>Has this function been the subject of an EIA or FTA</b>	No			
<b>8</b>	<b>Are any other departments or partners involved in the delivery of the function?</b>	Yes – these proposals cross all portfolios. Depending on the specific proposal, there may also be involvement from partners.			
<b>9</b>	<b>How are/will they be involved in this assessment?</b>	Managers within the relevant service areas/portfolios will lead on further work required to implement savings proposals, including where necessary carrying out individual FTAs and involving partners as appropriate.			

### Part C: Information sources

<b>10</b>	<b>What available equality data or research have you reviewed or carried out in the process of identifying the proposed saving?</b>				
-----------	---	--	--	--	--

## THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY

A high-level analysis of existing data on Solihull's population and workforce has been undertaken to inform this initial strategic assessment, as summarised below.

<b>Characteristic</b>	<b>Existing data</b>
<b>Age/Population</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Office for National Statistics (ONS) estimated Solihull's population in 2017 as 213,900.</li> <li>• 21% of the population is aged 65 and over. By 2036 it is projected that the number of individuals aged 85 and over will increase by nearly 80% to c.11,600 (5% of the total).</li> <li>• The proportion of children (19%) is in line with the national average, but the working population is relatively low (59.7% compared to 62.8%).</li> <li>• The number of children aged 0-15 years is projected to increase by 10.2% between 2016 and 2036.</li> <li>• Of the 2,875 people working for the Council (excluding schools) as at September 2018, 61% were aged over 45. Four percent were aged between 16 and 24.</li> </ul>
<b>Ethnicity</b>	<p>Based on the 2011 census:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 85.8% of residents described themselves as White British - compared to the West Midlands average of 79.2%.</li> <li>• The largest Black and Minority Ethnic (BAME) group in Solihull is Asian or Asian British forming 6.6% of the total population.</li> <li>• 2.1% identified as mixed race.</li> <li>• 1.6% of the population is Black/Black British with 0.6% of other ethnic backgrounds.</li> <li>• Number of residents identifying as Indian has witnessed the most significant increase relative to other ethnic groups.</li> <li>• Of the 2,875 people working for the Council (excluding schools) as at September 2018, 81% identified as White, 7% as Asian/Asian British, 4% as Black/Black British and 2% as mixed race.</li> </ul>
<b>Religion</b>	<p>Based on the 2011 census:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 65.6% of residents identified as Christians</li> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup> largest group (21.4% of individuals) followed no religion</li> <li>• 2.5% of the population identified as Muslim</li> <li>• 1.8% of the population identified as Hindu, with 1.7% as Sikh</li> <li>• 0.3% stated other religion, with 0.2 % of the population Buddhist and 0.2% Jewish.</li> </ul>
<b>Disability</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 37,000 Solihull residents stated that their day-to-day activities are limited by either a long-term illness or disability</li> <li>• 16,850 state that their activities are limited a lot by their disability.</li> <li>• Of the 2,875 people working for the Council (excluding schools) as at September 2018, 3.5% described themselves as having a disability.</li> </ul>
<b>Gender</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 2017 the estimated population of 213,900 was split between 104,100 (48.7%) males and 109,800 (51.3%) females.</li> </ul>
<b>Gender Reassignment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no readily available data for this protected characteristic in respect of the borough's population.</li> </ul>
<b>Sexuality</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no readily available data for this protected characteristic in respect of the borough's population.</li> </ul>
<b>Pregnancy/ Maternity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 2015, there were 2,259 births to mothers resident in Solihull.</li> <li>• Wards in the North of the Borough have the highest General Fertility Rate, with an average of 79.1 live births per 1000 women aged 15-49, compared to 61.9 for the borough as a whole.</li> </ul>
<b>Marriages and Civil partnerships</b>	<p>Based on the 2011 census:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 50.9% of residents were married.</li> <li>• 9.0% cohabited with a member of the opposite sex</li> <li>• 0.5% lived with a partner of the same sex</li> <li>• 23.7% were single and have never been married or in a registered partnership</li> <li>• 7.9% were divorced</li> <li>• 9,812 widowed individuals lived in Solihull.</li> </ul>
<b>Caring Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Around 24,100 Solihull residents identified themselves as being a carer in the 2011 census, 21% of whom provided more than 50 hours of care per week</li> <li>• 545 of the 2,400 carers actively engaged with the Solihull Carers Centre are under the age of 25 and classified as a young carer</li> </ul>

## THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY

- In May 2016 there were 2,480 Solihull residents in receipt of a Carers Allowance (1,930 female and 550 male). Estimates suggest that around 1,300 Solihull carers may be eligible for, but not claiming, Carers Allowance.

### Part D: Consultation

#### 11 Who have you consulted with about this proposed saving, and how were they consulted?

Consultation on specific savings proposals will be undertaken as required before implementation. This may include consultation with staff, customers, communities, trades unions the voluntary and community sector or other partners as applicable.

#### 12 Do you need to undertake any further consultation? If so, what and who with?

See above

### Part E: Assessment – Degree of relevance

#### 13 Please determine, using the table below the degree of relevance, that applies to each group below, as High, Medium, Low or No Impact.

##### High (H):

- have a substantial effect
- there is strong evidence of public concern (actual or potential)
- the most power to influence Council services and partner organisations in:
  - tackling the most significant causes of discrimination, disadvantage or inequality
  - improving the take up and quality of services to that particular group
  - improving the recruitment, retention and development of members of that particular group

##### Medium (M):

- will have some impact
- there is some evidence of public concern about the impact

**Low (L):** likely to have only a limited impact on equality and community relations

**No Priority (N):** has no impact

Characteristic	H	M	L	N	Any further comment
Age		X			The details of the savings to be delivered in the Adult Social Care & Health portfolio have yet to be confirmed and so at this stage the potential impact has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating actions. No new savings are proposed for children's services. The MTFs recommends additional funding in support of adults' and children's services to address pressures in those services.
Carers		X			The details of the savings to be delivered in the Adult Social Care & Health portfolio have yet to be confirmed and so at this stage the potential impact has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating actions. No new savings are proposed for children's services. The MTFs recommends additional funding in support of adults' and children's services to address pressures in those services.
Disability		X			The details of the savings to be delivered in the Adult Social Care & Health portfolio have yet to be

## THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY

				confirmed and so at this stage the potential impact has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating actions. No new savings are proposed for children's services. The MTFS recommends additional funding in support of adults' and children's services to address pressures in those services.
<b>Gender reassignment</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Looked after children/care leavers</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Marriage/civil partnership</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Pregnancy/maternity</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Race/ethnicity</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Religion/belief/faith</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Safeguarding- children and vulnerable adults</b>		<b>X</b>		The details of the savings to be delivered in the Adult Social Care & Health portfolio have yet to be confirmed and so at this stage the potential impact has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating actions. No new savings are proposed for children's services. The MTFS recommends additional funding in support of adults' and children's services to address pressures in those services.
<b>Sex/gender</b>		<b>X</b>		National research suggests that public sector spending reductions in recent years have had a disproportionate impact on women. With that in mind, the potential impact of the savings proposals under consideration has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating actions.
<b>Sexual orientation</b>				<b>X</b> At this stage it is not expected that any of the savings proposals will have a differential impact for this group; however, this will be assessed as part of the FTAs for specific savings proposals.
<b>Socio-economic disadvantage</b>		<b>X</b>		There are a number of savings proposals linked to income generation, e.g. from car parking charges, and the recommendation to increase council tax, which may have a differential impact on this group. At this stage the potential impact has been categorised as medium pending more detailed assessments of individual savings and mitigating

## THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY

					actions.
<b>Sustainable communities</b>			<b>X</b>		Some of the savings proposed within Adult Social Care & Health and Managed Growth & Communities portfolios could potentially have a negative impact on sustainable communities. However, the MTFS proposes significant investment in these areas which is intended to have a positive impact. A more detailed assessment will be undertaken on specific proposals before implementation where applicable.

### Part F: Summary

#### 14 What are your main conclusions on the likely impact of the proposed savings on different equality groups?

Headline analysis identifies that the MTFS (specifically some of the savings proposals identified and the proposed increase in council tax) may impact differently on people with certain protected characteristics, such as age, disability, caring status and socio-economic deprivation. Specific impacts on gender reassignment, sexuality, marriage and civil partnership have not been identified at this stage. Where applicable, detailed FTAs will be undertaken for individual savings proposals which will take account of service-specific data and intelligence and mitigating actions in order to more accurately assess the potential impact on different customers, staff and communities.

### Part G: Actions

#### 15 What actions will be taken to mitigate or reduce any negative impact?

Action	Outcome	Lead	Timescale	How will progress be monitored?
--------	---------	------	-----------	---------------------------------

The actions and associated timescales will depend on the FTAs undertaken on individual savings proposals.

### Part H: Sign off

#### 16 This FTA has been completed by

<b>Signed (Lead for FTA)</b>	
<b>Name and job title (please print)</b>	
<b>Date</b>	

#### 17 This FTA has been reviewed by the directorate leadership team and/or directorate equality and diversity group.

<b>Signed (on behalf of group)</b>	
<b>Name and job title (please print)</b>	
<b>Date reviewed</b>	

#### 18 This FTA has been approved by Director/Head of Division (or equivalent)

<b>Signed</b>	
<b>Name and job title (please print)</b>	

**THIS FORM IS TO BE USED FOR BUDGET SAVINGS ONLY**

Date	
------	--