

APPENDIX B: COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING SPEND LOCAL PROPORTION IN NON-PARISHED AREAS

- NCIL-20-0010-KNO Knowle Allotments Society

Amount requested - £3,000

Amount in pot - £3122.19

Screening	Yes	NO	
Infrastructure Does it fund a project that falls within the definition of infrastructure? • Yes – Proceed • No – Application declined	✓		
Maintenance Requires no further funding OR has funding secured to maintain the project • Yes – Proceed to scoring • No – Application declined	✓		
Deliverability Has the applicant supplied two quotes/final agreed quote? • Yes – proceed to scoring • No – Application declined	✓		

Criteria	0	1	2	3	We ¹	Sc ²	
Benefits of the project: Level of community benefit of the project:		✓			3	3	Local benefit only

¹ Weighting

² Score

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None • 1 – Limited (Local benefit) • 2 – Moderate (More than local benefit OR disadvantaged group to benefit) • 3 – High (Most of the community to benefit OR significant disadvantaged group to benefit) 							
Public Support: Level of public support for the project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None • 1 – Limited (one of: Member, Community Group, demonstration of wider community) • 2 – Moderate (two of above) • 3 – High (all three of above) 		✓			3	3	SMBC support (Parks and Open space)
Council Plan: Supports a priority identified in the Council Plan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None • 1 – Limited (1 priority identified) • 2 – Moderate (2 priorities identified) • 3 – High (3+ priorities identified) 		✓			2	2	Enable our community to thrive
Neighbourhood plan (where applicable): Project identified in the Neighbourhood plan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None (Not identified) • 1 – Limited (Identified but not as a high priority) • 2 – Moderate (Identified as priority in emerging neighbourhood plan) • 3 – High (Identified as high priority within an adopted/examined plan) 			✓		2	6	Identified as a Green Space as part of the Green Space Audit for the Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath Plan Confirmed as meeting the ‘moderate’ score by KDBH Neighbourhood Forum
Project Plan: Details of the project have been specified/explored/agreed (to include timescale,			✓		0	2	Quotes obtained Excess funds identified for the shortfall between project cost and CIL funds available

specifications of project plans, deliverability of project etc.) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None (No detailed plans in place) • 1 – Limited (Plans at preliminary stage) • 2 – Moderate (Plans at draft stage) • 3 – High (All details specified and agreed) 							
Funding: Project has match funding in place to support the financing. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None (No other funding in place) • 1 – Limited (Some funding secured up to 20%) • 2 – Moderate (Additional funding secured up to 35%) • 3 – High (Fully matched funding or above ie 50% or higher) 			✓		0	2	Other funding obtained from group cash reserves & other community funding opportunities
Location of Project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – Project will benefit communities outside of the Borough • 1 – Project will benefit the wider Borough community • 2 – Project will benefit the local community surrounding the ward • 3 – Project will benefit the local ward community 				✓	0	3	For the benefit of those who use the allotment and those who live/work nearby
Mobile/Static Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 - Project is mobile (to be used across & outside of the Borough) • 1 – Project is mobile (to be used within the Borough) • 2 – Project is mobile (limited to an area close to the ward) • 3 - Project is static 				✓	0	3	
Risk:	✓				3	0	

Risk identified of the project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None (No risk identified) • -1 – Limited • -2 – Moderate • -3 – Significant (Application Declined) 							
Total						21	Out of a minimum 18 to pass and a possible 42 available.

Ward with neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 42

Minimum score to pass application = 18

Ward without Neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 36

Minimum score to pass application = 16

NCIL-20-0006-SE Shirley Old People's Welfare Committee Limited

Amount requested - £20,000

Amount in pot - £51,866

Screening	Yes	NO	
Infrastructure Does it fund a project that falls within the definition of infrastructure? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – Proceed • No – Application declined 	✓		
Maintenance Requires no further funding OR has funding secured to maintain the project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – Proceed to scoring • No – Application declined 	✓		
Deliverability Has the applicant supplied two quotes/final agreed quote? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – proceed to scoring • No – Application declined 	✓		

Criteria	0	1	2	3	We ³	Sc ⁴	
Benefits of the project: Level of community benefit of the project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None • 1 – Limited (Local benefit) • 2 – Moderate (More than local benefit OR disadvantaged group to benefit) 			✓		3	6	Disadvantaged group to benefit – elderly isolated community of Shirley East

³ Weighting

⁴ Score

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 – High (Most of the community to benefit OR significant disadvantaged group to benefit) 							
<p>Public Support: Level of public support for the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None 1 – Limited (one of: Member, Community Group, demonstration of wider community) 2 – Moderate (two of above) 3 – High (all three of above) 			✓		3	6	Cllr K Grinsell & Cllr Mackenzie support
<p>Council Plan: Supports a priority identified in the Council Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None 1 – Limited (1 priority identified) 2 – Moderate (2 priorities identified) 3 – High (3+ priorities identified) 				✓	2	6	
<p>Neighbourhood plan (where applicable): Project identified in the Neighbourhood plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (Not identified) 1 – Limited (Identified but not as a high priority) 2 – Moderate (Identified as priority in emerging neighbourhood plan) 3 – High (Identified as high priority within an adopted/examined plan) 					2		N/A
<p>Project Plan: Details of the project have been specified/explored/agreed (to include timescale, specifications of project plans, deliverability of project etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No detailed plans in place) 1 – Limited (Plans at preliminary stage) 2 – Moderate (Plans at draft stage) 				✓	0	3	Designs agreed & Planning permission granted Quote agreed

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 – High (All details specified and agreed) 							
<p>Funding: Project has match funding in place to support the financing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No other funding in place) 1 – Limited (Some funding secured up to 20%) 2 – Moderate (Additional funding secured up to 35%) 3 – High (Fully matched funding or above ie 50% or higher) 			✓		0	2	Remainder of project cost to be covered from other funding
<p>Location of Project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – Project will benefit communities outside of the Borough 1 – Project will benefit the wider Borough community 2 – Project will benefit the local community surrounding the ward 3 – Project will benefit the local ward community 				✓	0	3	
<p>Mobile/Static Projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 - Project is mobile (to be used across & outside of the Borough) 1 – Project is mobile (to be used within the Borough) 2 – Project is mobile (limited to an area close to the ward) 3 - Project is static 				✓	0	3	
<p>Risk: Risk identified of the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No risk identified) -1 – Limited -2 – Moderate -3 – Significant (Application Declined) 	✓				3	0	

Total						29	Out of a minimum 16 to pass and a possible 36 available.
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	----	--

Ward with neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 42

Minimum score to pass application = 18

Ward without Neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 36

Minimum score to pass application = 16

NCIL-20-0014-OLT Solihull Round Table

Amount requested - £5,000

Amount in pot - £42,553.56

Screening	Yes	NO	
Infrastructure Does it fund a project that falls within the definition of infrastructure? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – Proceed • No – Application declined 	✓		Natural play area
Maintenance Requires no further funding OR has funding secured to maintain the project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – Proceed to scoring • No – Application declined 	✓		
Deliverability Has the applicant supplied two quotes/final agreed quote? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes – proceed to scoring • No – Application declined 	✓		Quote provided

Criteria	0	1	2	3	We ⁵	Sc ⁶	
Benefits of the project: Level of community benefit of the project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 – None • 1 – Limited (Local benefit) • 2 – Moderate (More than local benefit OR disadvantaged group to benefit) 		✓			3	3	

⁵ Weighting

⁶ Score

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 – High (Most of the community to benefit OR significant disadvantaged group to benefit) 							
<p>Public Support: Level of public support for the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None 1 – Limited (one of: Member, Community Group, demonstration of wider community) 2 – Moderate (two of above) 3 – High (all three of above) 				✓	3	9	Member support (x2) Public support gained through Friends of Langley Hall Park Facebook group
<p>Council Plan: Supports a priority identified in the Council Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None 1 – Limited (1 priority identified) 2 – Moderate (2 priorities identified) 3 – High (3+ priorities identified) 				✓	2	6	Enhance Solihull’s natural environment Enable communities to thrive Take action to improve life choices in our most disadvantaged communities
<p>Neighbourhood plan (where applicable): Project identified in the Neighbourhood plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (Not identified) 1 – Limited (Identified but not as a high priority) 2 – Moderate (Identified as priority in emerging neighbourhood plan) 3 – High (Identified as high priority within an adopted/examined plan) 					2		N/A
<p>Project Plan: Details of the project have been specified/explored/agreed (to include timescale, specifications of project plans, deliverability of project etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No detailed plans in place) 1 – Limited (Plans at preliminary stage) 2 – Moderate (Plans at draft stage) 				✓	0	3	Quote sought & costing agreed

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 – High (All details specified and agreed) 							
Funding: Project has match funding in place to support the financing. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No other funding in place) 1 – Limited (Some funding secured up to 20%) 2 – Moderate (Additional funding secured up to 35%) 3 – High (Fully matched funding or above ie 50% or higher) 	✓				0	0	No other funding secured
Location of Project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – Project will benefit communities outside of the Borough 1 – Project will benefit the wider Borough community 2 – Project will benefit the local community surrounding the ward 3 – Project will benefit the local ward community 				✓	0	3	Local community of Olton to benefit The only designated public park in Olton
Mobile/Static Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 - Project is mobile (to be used across & outside of the Borough) 1 – Project is mobile (to be used within the Borough) 2 – Project is mobile (limited to an area close to the ward) 3 - Project is static 				✓	0	3	
Risk: Risk identified of the project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0 – None (No risk identified) -1 – Limited -2 – Moderate -3 – Significant (Application Declined) 	✓				3	0	

Total						27	Out of a minimum 16 to pass and a possible 36 available.
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	----	--

Ward with neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 42

Minimum score to pass application = 18

Ward without Neighbourhood plan in place:

Maximum score available = 36

Minimum score to pass application = 16